

This feature is neither disclosed nor suggested by Zansky '600.

In Zansky '600 (Fig. 2), a sinusoidal voltage is provided between terminals 45 and 46. However, no tank-inductor is connected across terminals 45 and 46.

On the other hand, a tank-inductor is connected between terminals 40 and 45. However, the voltage present between terminals 40 and 45 is non-sinusoidal in that it consists of the vectorial sum of a sinusoidal voltage and a squarewave voltage.

In other words, in Zansky, no two AC terminals (across which a tank-inductor is connected) exist where -- with respect to a given reference terminal -- a non-sinusoidal voltage exists at both AC terminals.

If Examiner were to take a position to the effect that Zansky '600 does disclose the above-identified feature, Examiner is asked to show exactly where and/or how Zansky discloses or suggests this feature.

Examiner rejected claims 33-41 under 35 USC 102b as being anticipated by Nilssen '095 and Nilssen '363.

Applicant traverses this rejection for the reason that -- with respect to the feature defined by independent claims 33, 38 and 40-41 -- the present application has a priority date of 03/28/78; which is well before the priority dates of Nilssen '095 and Nilssen '363.

Examiner rejected claims 33-41 under 35 USC 102e as being anticipated by Kang.

Applicant traverses this rejection for the reason that -- with respect to the feature defined by independent claims 33, 38 and 40-41 -- the present application has a priority date of 03/28/78; which is well before the priority date of Kang, whose priority date is 06/14/89.

Examiner rejected claims 33-41 under 35 USC 102e as being anticipated by Dietl.

Applicant traverses this rejection for the reason that -- with respect to the feature defined by independent claims 33, 38 and 40-41 -- the present application has a priority date of 03/28/78; which is well before the priority date of Dietl, whose priority date is 02/13/85.

